

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/091,168	HUNTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael G. Hartley	1618	

All Participants:

(1) Michael G. Hartley.

(2) Dana Gordon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested canceling all the pending claims, except for claim 15, to let the application issue, thereby avoiding the mailing of a restriction requirement separating claim 15 (Group I), claims 16,17 and 23-38 (Group II) and claims 21 and 22 (Group III). It was noted that claim 15 was indicated as allowable in a previous office action..